## **PAPER • OPEN ACCESS**

# Clock synchronization with pulsed single photon sources

To cite this article: Christopher Spiess and Fabian Steinlechner 2024 *Quantum Sci. Technol.* **9** 015019

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

# You may also like

- <u>Time transfer by laser link: a complete</u> analysis of the uncertainty budget E Samain, P Exertier, C Courde et al.
- Evaluation of BeiDou time transfer over multiple inter-continental baselines towards UTC contribution Kun Liang, Felicitas Arias, Gerard Petit et al.
- <u>Dynamical modeling of pulsed two-photon</u> <u>interference</u> Kevin A Fischer, Kai Müller, Konstantinos G Lagoudakis et al.

# Quantum Science and Technology

# CrossMark

**OPEN ACCESS** 

RECEIVED

REVISED

30 May 2023

7 October 2023

# Clock synchronization with pulsed single photon sources

Christopher Spiess<sup>1,2,\*</sup> o and Fabian Steinlechner<sup>1,2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Friedrich Schiller University, Institute of Applied Physics, Abbe Center of Photonics, Albert-Einstein-Strasse 15, Jena 07745, Germany
- Fraunhofer Institute for Applied Optics and Precision Engineering, Albert-Einstein-Strasse 7, Jena 07745, Germany

\* Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: christopher.spiess@iof.fraunhofer.de

Keywords: photon, clock, synchronization, pulsed, processing, quantum, communication

PUBLISHED 1 December 2023

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 20 October 2023

Original Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



Abstract

PAPER

Photonic quantum technology requires precise, time-resolved identification of photodetection events. In distributed quantum networks with spatially separated and drifting time references, achieving high precision is particularly challenging. Here we build on recent advances of using single-photons for time transfer and employ and quantify a fast postprocessing scheme designed to pulsed single-photon sources. We achieve an average root mean square synchronization jitter of 3.0 ps. The stability is comparable to systems with Rb vapor cell clocks with 19 ps at 1 s integration time, in terms of Allan time deviation. Remarkably, our stability is even better than classical high-precision time transfer, like the White Rabbit protocol, although we use significantly less signal (single-photon level). Our algorithms allow local processing of the data and do not affect the secure key rate. It compensates substantial clock imperfections from crystal oscillators and we foresee great potential for low signal scenarios. The findings are naturally suited to quantum communication networks and provide simultaneous time transfer without adding hardware or modifying the single-photon sources.

# 1. Introduction

The synchronization of remote clocks plays a crucial role in communication networks [1-3]. To this end, the network time protocol [4] is widely used for the synchronization of computers in communication networks, with a typical frequency stability of the order of  $1 \times 10^{-7}$ . This can be further enhanced on the order of  $1 \times 10^{-19}$  using optical timing transfer technologies [5, 6]. Such levels of stability are crucial in emerging quantum communication networks. In this context, clock synchronization methods that use single photons to transfer timing information have been proposed and implemented [7, 8]. The critical key benefit of these approaches is not necessarily that they improve performance over their classical counterparts. Instead, they avoid the need for additional hardware, such as rubidium clocks [9–11], a receiver for the global navigation satellite system [12, 13], or auxiliary synchronization method is the photon source operation mode that can be continuous wave/asynchronous or pulsed/clocked [20, 21]. A notable example is a spontaneous parametric down-conversion source driven by a continuous-wave pump [17, 22]. Such sources can be realized with low effort using commercial off-the-shelf components and achieve very high quantum-state transmission rates. However, the random emission and arrival times of the photons complicate the time synchronization [23].

In contrast, pulsed single-photon sources confine the photon arrival time to an envelope given by the pump, by providing an additional time reference. This greatly simplifies synchronization, as its shown impressively for entanglement swapping [24] or quantum teleportation [25–27] that require high-quality interference. In this paper, we focus on (clocked) weak coherent pulse sources [19, 28–30]. The pulse train acts as a structure to transfer clock frequencies from the source to the receiver. A widely employed approach for matching the clock frequency of source and receiver is to perform an analysis of the arrival time of photons in the frequency domain [31, 32]. On an optical link with constant losses, a higher frequency of arriving photons corresponds to a faster clock of the sender. It is easy to match the clock frequencies. On the other hand, when there are high losses on the link, the received photon detection rate is much lower than the

clock rate of the sender. In such cases, it is difficult to extrapolate a much higher sender clock frequency from a low-frequency receiver signal. In other words, the signal-to-noise ratio reduces with strong link losses and limits this approach to short-distance links with small losses. A possible solution is to use dedicated synchronization patterns to improve the signal-to-noise ratio [31, 33, 34], as shown in [32, 35]. The authors show a remarkably low standard deviation  $(1\sigma)$  of the timing offset variation of approximately 500 ps (estimated from maximum deviation  $3\sigma = 1.5$  ns, integration time 1 s). However, since these patterns are part of the single-photon stream, this method requires modifications to the source software. The synchronization pattern reserves a selected period of time that could be used to transfer data bits otherwise. In consequence, the effective data rate reduces.

In this work, we extend upon initial demonstrations of correlation-based clock synchronization [8] that require neither hardware (sender and receiver) nor software modifications of the single-photon source [36, 37]. Our overarching goal is to reduce the need for additional synchronization hardware in quantum communication scenarios and show useful ideas for classical time transfer. In particular, we provide a comprehensive summary of a clock frequency tracking algorithm, analyze its performance, and compare it to other synchronization techniques. The clock frequencies are matched by comparing the sender source clock rate with the received photon arrival time. Specifically, we propose a dedicated algorithm that is uniquely suited to pulsed sources. The algorithm results in a substantial reduction in computation effort and allows the feasibility of low-signal scenarios, such as long-distance links [13]. In this work, we derive the absolute timing offset by comparing the actual transmitted and received data streams once during the initialization of the synchronization in the BB84 quantum key distribution protocol [38]. After initialization, this data exchange is no longer required in normal operation mode, as we just analyze the arrival time of the photons within a given window. As a consequence, unlike synchronization strings, this does not compromise the effective data rate.

We implement the synchronization protocol using weak coherent pulses as an exemplary single-photon source. In doing so, we ensure average synchronization timing jitters of 3.0 ps in a 5 min communication session by actively tracking the arrival time statistics, similar to the correlation peaks of photon pairs [7, 8]. The time deviation of the residual timing offset variation is only 19 ps at 1 s integration time which is more than one order of magnitude lower than previously reported [32] and comparable to systems employing Rb vapor cell clocks [39, 40]. Even remarkably, although we just use single photons, the time deviation is better than with the White Rabbit protocol that uses standard strong optical signal [41]. Our post-processing scheme represents a simple addition to arbitrary single photon sources, which increases the scaling ability of large quantum communication networks [42] and has potential application in secure time transfer and synchronization [43].

We structure this work by first comparing our algorithm for pulsed single-photon sources to continuous-wave driven single-photon sources as motivation (section 2.1). Second, we describe the experimental setup (section 2.2) and the algorithms for initialization of the synchronization (section 2.3). In the following, we explain how to keep the time reference locked during the communication session (section 2.4). Finally, we evaluate and discuss the performance parameters, synchronization timing jitter, and timing stability in terms of the Allan time deviation.

#### 2. Results

As a summary of our results, we characterize the timing stability of our algorithms in terms of the modified Allan time deviation (MDEV). From the residual variations of the timing offset, we determine the Allan timing stability (TDEV) to 2.8 (3.2) ps in a 30 (100) s integration time  $\tau$ , via TDEV=  $(\tau/\sqrt{3})$  MDEV [44]. It is much lower than recently published values using ultra-stable clocks: 38.1 ps at 30 s integration time with a Rb vapor cell clock at site A and H-maser at site B [40] and 88 ps at 100 s integration time with two Rb vapor cell clocks [39]. We show feasibility with standard crystal oscillators that have eight orders of magnitude worse performance than atomic [45, 46] and still achieve timing jitters comparable to links deployed with GPS-referenced systems [10, 13, 47].

#### 2.1. Synchronization schemes with single photon sources

Strong coherent pulses are very straightforward to use for timing applications (figure 1(a)). Every pulse consists of a large number of photons which simplifies detecting the rising edge of the pulse to give it some timing. On the contrary, weak coherent pulses have their intensity level attenuated to the single-photon level (figure 1(b)). As a consequence, the location of the single photon becomes uncertain as the arrival time is described by a probability distribution. This simple comparison already tells us that single photons are not easy to handle for time transfer due to an increased uncertainty.



**Figure 1.** Application of coherent and weak coherent pulses for time transfer. (a) It is easy to extract the rising edge from coherent pulses with high accuracy, due to the large number of photons. The photons are distributed according to the pulse envelope. (b) Weak coherent pulses are attenuated strongly until they have a mean photon number <1. The arrival time of the photon is described by the pulse envelope that serves as a probability distribution. The precise time is uncertain by the pulse width.



Figure 2. Types of clock synchronization schemes with single photons in a quantum communication environment. (a) Continuous-wave (CW) driven and passive entangled photon sources (EPS) act as mediator. The two receivers (A), (B) communicate the arrival time of photons to find correlation events. In the following, they first reduce their frequency difference  $f_A - f_B$  and second minimize their absolute time difference  $t_A - t_B$ . (b) When pulsing the pump, the receivers have the option to synchronize their individual clock frequencies to the sender's clock with frequency  $f_0$  through the source clock rate. This procedure does not require any communication between the receiver. They just locally process the arrival times of the photons. In a second step, the receivers communicate their arrival times to find the absolute timing offset. (c) The synchronization scheme for sources that base on weak coherent pulses (WCS) is almost identical to (b). The difference is that the clock frequency and timing offset of the receiver is matched to the master clock.

Quantum communication systems that are based on correlated photon pair sources that are driven by a continuous-wave pump have some practical downsides, as the random photon arrival time from the source cannot be used directly as frequency carrier. The source operates entirely passively and the system requires substantial communication between the receivers to find the interdependent clock frequency and timing offset (figure 2(a)). To be more precise, the receivers process their coincident correlation events of the arriving photons. This dependency has the consequence that there is no way to find the absolute timing offset if the clock frequencies of the receivers were much different in low signal scenarios. A reliable method to still match the clock frequencies between receivers is to vary the frequency of one receiver that increases the signal-to-noise ratio [23]. However, this method is very demanding with respect to computation power, as it requires several Fourier-based cross-correlations with large array sizes.

This changes when the pump for photon-pair generation is pulsed, as the arrival time of the photon pairs is no longer random. This method allows one to synchronize the clock frequency at the receiver with a common master clock on the source side (figure 2(b)). The procedure has two important consequences:

- (i) The clock frequency at the receiver is derived independently of the other receiver, which means that it is only necessary to process the arrival time of photons locally at one receiver. This avoids the use of the much lower number of coincident correlation events, which increases the signal-to-noise ratio significantly.
- (ii) The clock frequency is found without the necessity of an absolute timing offset. This breaks the interdependence of timing offset and clock frequency that exists in sources driven by a continuous-wave pump. In consequence, it drastically increases the efficiency of the algorithm for synchronization.

Quantum communication setups based on weak coherent sources have a similar synchronization character to schemes that rely on pulsed photon pairs. As with pulsed-photon pair sources, the clock



**Figure 3.** Synchronization of clocks with single photons from pulsed sources in an exemplary quantum key distribution system. (a) Typical example of pulsed single photon sources are setups based on weak coherent pulses. The amplitude modulator generates optical pulses in bins called early, late or both. Single photon detectors (SPD) measure the arrival time after attenuation to single photon level. Upon the arrival of a photon, they create sharp electric pulses that allow triggering of time-to digital converters. When triggered, they generate time stamps according to its internal clock for further processing on a computer. The clocks of the sender and receiver can be either rubidium clocks (1) or quartz oscillators (2). The reference measurement is established via an RF cable (3) that transfers a 10 MHz clock signal for classical synchronization. (b) Matching clock frequencies between sender and receiver results in highest signal-to-noise ratios of the arrival time statistics in the source clock rate window. (c) The peaks reduce in strength for not compensated clock frequency difference. Note that the clock frequency difference of 5 ns s<sup>-1</sup> results in a full-width correlation peak spread of approximately 500 ps over 100 ms integration time and overlaps with the neighboring correlation peak. The relative timing offset within the source clock rate window is derived as well. (d) Finding the absolute timing offset with pulsed single photon sources. The cross-correlation between received and sent data indicates a correlation dip in the error rate. When received patterns are equal to the sent patterns, the error is smallest.

Table 1. RMS	timing jitter	budget of th	he experimen	tal setup.
--------------	---------------	--------------	--------------	------------

Analog-to-digital converter	Single-photon source	Single-photon detector	Total	
3 ps	37 ps	13 ps	39 ps	

frequency can be derived first and the absolute timing offset second (figure 2(c)). The upcoming sections describe the underlying methods in more detail with the example of weak coherent sources.

#### 2.2. Experimental setup

Our exemplary experimental setup consists of a single-photon source of faint pulses that transmits the timing and frequency information to our receiver. We consider this to be an add-on to the decoy-state BB84 quantum key distribution protocol (figure 3(a)). The source consists of an arbitrary waveform generator (with the intrinsic clock Alice) to transfer the digital information bits to an analog waveform that drives an amplitude and phase modulator and generates a typical sequence for time-bin encoded quantum key distribution. This is in the time basis the states  $|Early\rangle/|Late\rangle$  and in the superposition basis  $|+\rangle$  (the phase difference is zero) and  $|-\rangle$  (the phase difference is  $\pi$ ). The signal is carried to the receiver via approximately 10 m of optical fiber. As the setup is in an air-conditioned laboratory environment, we expect the fiber to introduce a time deviation smaller than 1 ps at longer averaging times of larger 10 s (appendix A.2). The impact on short averaging times is minor. The receiver consists of nanowire single-photon detectors and an analog-to-digital converter that generates time stamps according to its internal clock Bob. The timing jitter budget is summarized in table 1. The clocks can be synchronized through a 10 MHz signal carried by an RF cable—this will provide our reference measurement (ground truth). The clocks of both the source and the receiver are free-running quartz oscillators. In consequence, achieving high stability is challenging due to the clock's

- (i) low precision, because of the considerable frequency differences that complicate the initialization of the synchronization (addressed in section 2.3) and,
- (ii) low stability that comes with strong time-dependent changes of their frequency. Subsequently, these will
  affect the synchronization during the communication session. This is addressed by fast frequency
  update times in section 2.4).

**IOP** Publishing

The source repetition rate acts as a mediator of the clock frequency and becomes part of the initialization of the synchronization. The underlying assumption is that the repetition rate is locked to the sender clock frequency and acts as a derivative. The timing offset between the source and receiver also serves as an indicator of the clock frequency and is exploited during the communication session for frequency tracking and update purposes.

#### 2.3. Initialization of the quantum communication session

The initialization of the synchronization requires first the processing of the arrival times of photons at the receiver. This is accomplished by correlating the arrival time to the time that a photon has been sent out. Such processing algorithms are commonly based on the start-stop method with a summary in histograms or based on a full cross-correlation through Fourier transforms [23]. In this method, every time of the *N*th transmitted photon is compared with all *M*th received photons. When zero-padding the shorter array, the array size is  $n = \max(N, M)$ . This gives rise to a computational complexity of  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  for a standard Fourier transformation and  $\mathcal{O}(n \log_2 n)$  for the fast Fourier transformation [48]. The computational cost can be reduced further to  $\mathcal{O}(n \log_2(\log_2 n))$  at the expense of synchronization strings [31]. Although our algorithm uses a single fast Fourier transformation to find the absolute timing offset during initialization (complexity  $\mathcal{O}(n \log_2 n)$ ), all the other steps (including frequency locking) use the much more efficient modulo operator. The operator allocates the photon arrival time  $t_i$  within a time window  $1/f_c$ , given by the source clock rate  $f_c$ . This reduces the complexity to only the number of photons received with computational cost  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ , since the modulo operation applied to a single number is a  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  operation (it is just the remainder after division).

At the beginning of the initialization of the synchronization, the source clock rate is just an estimate value because the exact source clock rate is not known due to the low accuracy and stability of the clock. Such an estimate could be the clock rate set in the protocol (e.g. 500 MHz). The photon's arrival time  $T_i$  within the time window is calculated through the modulo operation (mod) as

$$T_i = \operatorname{mod}_{1/f_c}(t_i). \tag{1}$$

The arrival time statistics  $c(\tau)$  are given by

$$c(\tau) = \text{Histogram}[T_i, \tau].$$
(2)

The nature of this operation provides only a relative timing offset within the source clock rate window  $(0 \dots 1/f_c)$ . The absolute timing offset is derived by comparing sent and received data bits in a subsequent step.

The modulo operation is especially beneficial under low signal conditions due to its lower computational complexity (see appendix A.1). Let us consider a typical scenario of long-distance quantum communication with high losses on the link. As a consequence, the signal-to-noise ratio is already low. If network nodes are not equipped with high-performance clocks, this will further reduce the signal-to-noise ratio, so that correlation features can no longer be observed. In such cases, it is beneficial to vary the receiver clock frequency until there is a closer frequency match between the sender and receiver clocks [23, 37]. In practice, this is performed through time tag post-processing (to vary the source clock rate in equation (1)) or with hardware modification of the clock frequency. Both options allow for an improvement of the signal-to-noise ratio and allow the initialization of the synchronization (figure 3(b)). However, for the frequency sweep, several correlation calculations are required, which increases the computation time. For example, continuous wave sources need computationally heavy Fourier-based methods [23], which makes initialization under low signal conditions infeasible. On the other hand, we propose to use the modulo operator in pulsed sources to allow for much reduced total computation time, making pulsed sources superior in low-signal scenarios.

Finding the absolute timing offset between the sender and receiver is the result of a two-stage process. It comprises

- (i) identifying the relative timing offset  $(0...1/f_c)$  via the modulo operator (in initialization and during the communication session), and
- (ii) measuring the absolute timing offset by comparing the sent bit sequence with the received sequence (multiple integers of  $1/f_c$ , in initialization only).

The relative timing offset is a by-product of the frequency search (see figures 3(b) and (c))—the absolute timing offset is obtained by comparing the sent and received symbols (data bits). Note that the data bits act as a synchronization string during initialization, similar to publication [31]. In contrast, we use the actual data bits for quantum key distribution a single time instead of adding an additional sequence. In our emulated quantum key distribution test environment, we encode 1000 symbols in the arbitrary waveform



**Figure 4.** Workflow for tracking the sender clock frequency (a) the timing offset is stored continuously in a buffer. When reaching the clock frequency update time, the software reads out the buffer and updates the clock frequency. We call the timing jitter before and after such a clock frequency update the *a priori* and *a posteriori* timing jitter, respectively. Note that the *a priori* cross-correlation is seeded by the clock-frequency from the previous data set. The *a posteriori* cross-correlation function receives the clock frequency of the current data set. (b) Any deviation of the predicted timing offset from the measured value serves as error signal (c). This provides an updated correction value for the clock frequency at the receiver (clock frequency difference) (d).

generator with a clock rate of 500 MHz. This sequence of 1000 data bits repeats periodically. We shift the received symbols by multiples of the source clock rate until they match the sent bit sequence. At this point, the quantum bit error rate is lowest with its corresponding absolute timing offset (see figure 3(d)).

The method of finding the absolute timing offset neither poses a security risk nor compromises the effective key rate. The absolute value of the timing offset is determined in a single step during the initialization. The bits revealed to access the error rate in this step are not safe to use for the secure key. However, no single bit of information is shared after the initialization is performed, as all processing to find the relative timing offset is performed locally. As a consequence, it does not affect the secure key rate during the communication session. The correctness of the absolute timing offset is continuously verified by a low quantum bit error rate, which is already part of the parameter estimation in the protocol for error correction [49].

#### 2.4. Live tracking during the quantum communication session

The first section describes how to determine the absolute timing offset and how to match the clock frequencies between senders and receivers. As the clock frequency drifts in the following communication session, the timing uncertainty would increase without any compensation applied. We avoid this by introducing our frequency update algorithm. A useful indicator of current frequency differences  $\Delta u$  is the change of timing offset  $\delta T$  after one feedback loop of duration  $T_{\rm f}$  in the tracking algorithm,

$$\Delta u = \frac{\delta T}{T_{\rm f}}.\tag{3}$$

Note that  $\delta T \leq 1/f_c$  should be fulfilled when using the modulo operator, since the timing offset observation window is limited to the current symbol with a range of  $0 \dots 1/f_c$ . For visualization, let us take our example with a symbol range of  $0 \dots 2$  ns (500 MHz). When the timing offset changes by 2.5 ns, the correlation peak slips into the neighboring symbol range, but the modulo operator would just provide an incorrect (relative) offset of 0.5 ns. As a consequence, the error rate increases to 50%. In such cases, we recommend searching in the ranges of neighboring symbols to recover synchronization. This is done by changing the timing offset by, for example,  $\pm 1$  or  $\pm 2$  of the repetition rate and checking the corresponding error rate. In our example, adding 2 ns works for recovery. To avoid all this, it is useful to reduce the data acquisition time or to choose shorter feedback loops for frequency adjustments to avoid the correlation features from leaving the observation window.

The frequency update algorithm records timing offsets and updates the receiver clock frequency after a given feedback loop time (figure 4(a)). Upon receiving new data, the software calculates preliminary arrival-time statistics over the modulo operator (i.e. performs a cross-correlation). The calculation includes the last clock frequency and returns an *a priori* timing jitter (from a Gaussian fit), estimated from all previous clock frequencies, but without including the current measurement. On the contrary, the *a posteriori* timing jitter is an estimate of all previous clock frequencies, including the current measurement. As the clock frequency changes, the predicted timing offset (estimated with the clock frequency of the last data sets) deviates by  $\delta T$  (figures 4(b) and (c)). This difference between predicted and actual values produces an



**Figure 5.** Tracking of the sender clock frequency to enable lowest timing jitters with quartz oscillator at sender and receiver. (a) Correlation peak live tracking with clock frequency update times of 150 ms. The total timing jitter root-mean squared during a 5 min measurement session is depicted with its corresponding relative occurrence probability in the inset. (b) The tracked and compensated clock frequency difference quickly accumulates to more than 40 ns s<sup>-1</sup>. Although the frequency difference changes a lot over the first minute, our algorithm applies compensation values reliably and returns low timing jitters. (c) We compare the measured mean root-mean-squared (RMS) timing jitter to the reference of an ideal clock frequency transfer through an RF cable between source and receiver. The measured synchronization jitter (equation (4)) increases with the clock frequency differences of the previous data set, i.e. the last update (see figure 4). *A posteriori* correction refers to timing jitters after application of an updated clock requency from the current data set. Every data point shows the mean timing jitter in every 5 min measurement session. The clock rate of the sender is 500 MHz. The receiver detects signal with count rates of 270  $\pm$  20 kcps and correlation rate of 160  $\pm$  20 kcps with correlation window of 39 ps (equal to average RMS timing jitter). We achieve RMS synchronization jitters of a small as 3.0 ps with an update time of approximately 150 ms. The acquisition time amounts to 100 ms.

updated clock frequency, as described in equation (3) (figure 4(d)). With the updated clock frequency, the algorithm calculates an *a posteriori* cross-correlation that results in a smaller timing jitter (*a posteriori* timing jitter) as the clock frequencies between sender and receiver match more closely (figure 5). The applied clock frequency for the *a priori* cross-correlation is always outdated by the update time, as it originates from the last data set. This time delay by the update time becomes apparent in the difference in synchronization jitter between the *a priori* and *a posteriori* correlations at fast update times. The correction function enables extremely small *a posteriori* timing jitters, as the clock frequency of the current data set is applied. We determine the stability from the cumulative timing offset deviation that updates the clock frequency. Hence, the stability refers to an outdated clock frequency from the last data sets. This means that our estimated timing stability is related to an upper bound. In contrast, the *a posteriori* timing jitter includes the current clock frequency and may reach near-ideal performance. It is very suitable for quantum communication, which aims to obtain the lowest timing jitters for noise reduction and high data rates.

The timing jitter due to poor synchronization strongly depends on the update time of the receiver clock frequency. The residual synchronization timing jitter is caused by a weak frequency stability that accelerates the clock. In a feedback algorithm, we track the instantaneous clock frequency difference and compensate for it at the receiver. We measure the average clock stability in several 5 min sessions. Here, we find an almost linear relationship between the synchronization jitter and feedback loop time (figure 5(b)). The synchronization timing jitter  $\sigma_{sync}$  is derived from the measured mean timing jitter  $\sigma$  and the reference timing jitter  $\sigma_0$  as

$$\sigma_{\rm sync} = \sqrt{\sigma^2 - \sigma_0^2}.$$
 (4)

We establish the reference (ground truth) for the timing jitter through an RF cable between the source and the receiver that transfers a 10 MHz clock signal. We take this reference measurement just a few minutes before the actual measurement to calibrate the system. The single-photon count rate is constant and amounts to  $270 \pm 20$  kcps (correlation rate  $160 \pm 20$  kcps). This corresponds to a mean photon number of  $5.4 \times 10^{-4}$  per pulse. Taking into account the fastest clock frequency update time of 150 ms, the *a posteriori* correction timing jitter increases by only 110 fs, indicating average synchronization timing jitters of 3.0 ps.

The stability criterion of the algorithm is the time offset deviation that describes the change in clock frequency over the update time. The *a posteriori* timing jitter gives much better stability measures, because the current clock frequency is from the immediate data set. However, here we use the timing offset as an



**Figure 6.** Timing stability measurements. (a) Timing offset change for different experimental setups. (1.) Quartz oscillators at source and receiver without updating the clock frequencies (linear component of 15.8 ns s<sup>-1</sup> subtracted after fit). (2.) Quartz oscillators at source and receiver with tracking of the clock frequencies, denoted with (+). (3.) Free-running rubidium (Rb) clocks at source and receiver with stability specifications (MDEV) of each being  $3 \times 10^{-12}$  at 1 s,  $3 \times 10^{-12}$  at 10 s and  $1 \times 10^{-12}$  at 100 s. (4.) An RF-cable provides the 10 MHz reference output of the source to the receiver. (b) Modified Allan deviation [44], for varying averaging times. For reference, we included the Allan time deviation (TDEV) in the figure as dotted grey lines (see table 2 for the TDEV of case (2.) that is derived from the MDEV). The measurements are fit by a linear function to find the noise source that each follow a different power law ( $\tau^0$  Flicker FM,  $\tau^{-1}$  Flicker PM,  $\tau^{-3/2}$  White PM). Note that this stability describes the timing offset uncertainty of the upcoming data set after wrong prediction of the clock frequency (*a priori* correction). It is directly proportional to the clock instability during the clock update time. TDEV from literature: Lee (two Rb clocks) [39] and Quan ((i) Rb clock and H-maser only, (ii) additional microwave frequency transfer for increased stability) [40] and the White Rabbit protocol [41]. The TDEV and overlapping Allan deviation is graphically represented in appendix A.3.

indicator for a better comparison with the literature. Figure 6(a) shows the variation in timing offset for different types of experimental setups:

- 1. Internal quartz oscillators without tracking of the clock frequencies,
- 2. Internal quartz oscillators with tracking of the clock frequencies,
- 3. Free-running rubidium clocks at the source and receiver, and
- 4. The reference with an RF cable for clock transfer between the two quartz oscillators at the source and receiver.

The high stability of rubidium oscillators results in particular small timing offset variations, in contrast to quartz oscillators without our applied frequency update algorithm. We summarize the timing offset variations in terms of the Allan time deviation [44] which describes the average standard deviation of the timing offset for varying the averaging times (figure 6(b)). Note that tracking the frequency of the quartz oscillators increases the stability by more than two orders of magnitude at averaging times of 100 s and approaches the stability of rubidium clocks. The timing stability with quartz oscillators is shown in table 2.

**Table 2.** Time deviation (TDEV) and modified Allan deviation (MDEV  $\times 1 \times 10^{-12}$ ) [44] for varying averaging times  $\tau$  with frequency tracked quartz crystal oscillators. The values are close to what is reported in the literature with highly stable clocks—88 ps at 100 s averaging time [39] (two rubidium clocks), 38.1 ps at 30 s averaging time [40] (rubidium clock and H-maser). When comparing our results to classical synchronization schemes, the overall time deviation is identical to the White Rabbit protocol (WR: 11 ps at 1 s and 2 ps at 30 s [50]) or even smaller (WR: 45 ps at 1 s, 15 ps at 10 s and 6 ps at 100 s [41]). The TDEV and overlapping Allan deviation is graphically represented in appendix A.3.

$\tau$ (s)	0.15	0.2	0.4	1	2	4	10	20	30	40	100
ГDEV (ps)	54	46	32	19	13	9.5	6.0	3.5	2.8	1.9	3.2
MDEV	630	403	138	34	11	4.1	1.0	0.31	0.16	0.082	0.055

In conclusion, fast update times for clock frequency adjustments reduce timing jitter caused by poor-performing clocks and improve overall synchronization stability.

#### 3. Discussion

Our cross-correlation approach for pulsed single-photon sources is superior for synchronizing distant clocks compared to continuous-wave sources. The above becomes computationally more efficient than Fourier-based methods, because of the following reasons:

- (i) The modulo operation is intrinsically more computational power saving. The complexity depends only on the number of time stamps at a *single* receiver and the observation window is only  $0...1/f_c$ , instead of over the full integration time.
- (ii) The cross-correlation time is independent of the desired frequency resolution. It depends only on the number of time stamps to be processed and uses the provided digital resolution of the analog-to-digital converter of 1 ps. In continuous-wave sources, the resolution is reduced by binning with N number of bins. This is related to the frequency resolution as 1/N [7, 23].
- (iii) All processing is performed locally after finding the absolute timing offset once during initialization. This reduces the time to update the frequency in the live session.

After obtaining the relative timing offset with the modulo operator, the absolute timing offset is later derived by matching the sent sequences with the received sequences. Our live-tracking algorithms continuously align the clock frequencies as they strongly drift during long-term sessions. Here, we show synchronization timing jitters of 3.0 ps with 150 ms frequency update times that are smaller than previously reported 30–50 ps from free-running rubidium clock-based setups without time transfer with single photons [10, 13].

Low detector timing jitters, high signal-to-noise ratio, and pulsed operation are beneficial for any synchronization scheme. Here, we take advantage of nanowire single-photon detectors with low root mean squared timing jitters of  $13 \pm 2$  ps and 160 kcps correlation events. The main source of timing jitter during the initialization of the synchronization is the difference in the clock frequency. Hence, small single photon detector timing jitters improve the situation only slightly. However, they produce a lower synchronization jitter during the communication session. Here, the peak correlation time shifts are derived with higher resolution, and the subsequent clock frequency is accurately estimated. On the other hand, low signal rates increase the probability of failure during both the initialization of the synchronization and the subsequent frequency update algorithm. By pulsing the quantum source, we reduce this probability, increase the robustness, and allow for the application to arbitrary clock and link setups.

The frequency sweeps during the initialization of the synchronization require repeated cross-correlations. We implement it as serial for loops that are known for their high computation times. In the next step, the calculations could be parallelized and processed on a graphical processing unit for higher efficiency. Furthermore, if we knew the clock frequency differences from previous measurements, the number of loops would be drastically reduced. This option is especially applicable in systems with a higher duty cycle. Unlike previous work [7, 31, 32], this method is less dependent of the signal strength. We find that pulsed single-photon sources allow for synchronization at loss levels that would preclude continuous-wave correlation-based approaches previously demonstrated [23], giving rise to synchronization feasibility under low signal conditions, such as long-distance free space links [13] with only crystal oscillators (see appendix A.1).

Quantum communication networks that employ pulsed correlated photon pair sources benefit from a significantly enhanced signal-to-noise ratio for synchronization. Each individual receiver can locally process the arrival time of photons to match their clock frequency with the common master clock of the source. As this does not require correlation events between the receivers, the signal-to-noise ratio is drastically enhanced

and opens the application to low-signal scenarios. Furthermore, the amount of data to be transferred for synchronization is significantly reduced. In turn, the update time of the clock frequency reduces, which follows in a smaller time deviation.

Although we think our scheme applies very well to quantum communication scenarios by reducing additional hardware, there are some important downsides in comparison to classical synchronization methods. They mainly relate to the limited signal strength and the intrinsic timing uncertainty of the photons in weak coherent sources. The maximum signal is bounded by the single-photon detectors. Single-photon count rates exceeding GHz are only possible through multi-pixel detection units, but at the expense of the detection efficiency [51]. Such a count rate allows us to barely detect a standard 10 MHz clock signal as used in classical communication. However, this becomes difficult in lossy scenarios as the signal cannot be amplified in quantum communication. In contrast, its straightforward with classical strong laser light due to the abundant number of photons and the option for optical amplification.

Compared to the White Rabbit protocol, the single photons are used not only for synchronization but also as quantum data bits. This has the consequence that no two-way time transfer is necessary to isolate the run-time fluctuations of the link. Our one-way time transfer scheme simultaneously compensates for clock drifts and run-time fluctuations. Thus, it provides a reliable reference frame of the sent and received quantum bits. This is a significant reason for our results to be comparable to the White Rabbit protocol, although our feedback cycle (7 Hz) is much slower than the White Rabbit PLL (30 Hz) [52].

# 4. Conclusions

Our frequency tracking protocol universally applies to any single-photon source, regardless of its operating mode. We enable synchronization in post-processing without modifying the quantum source on the hardware side and without synchronization strings. These algorithms can be seamlessly integrated into state-of-the-art quantum communication schemes by using correlation events to find the initial absolute timing offset. Processing of the arrival-time statistics during the session helps to keep the clock frequency locked without sharing any secure data bits. We show that the requirements on the clock performance can be more flexible as compensation mechanisms counteract and balance strong drift and clock frequency differences. This feature enables standard computers to be connected to future up-scaled quantum communication networks [42], as ultra-precise clocks become redundant. Here, our methods represent important advances for secure clock synchronization [43] and global precision time distribution [53] and show how single photons become both information and timing carriers.

#### Data availability statement

All data that support the findings of this study are included within the article (and any supplementary files). Additional data of this study are available from the authors upon reasonable request.

### Acknowledgments

This research was carried out within the scope of the QuNET project, funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) in the context of the federal government's research framework in IT security 'Digital. Secure. Sovereign.' Further funding was received from the Federal State of Thuringia under the project Quantum Hub Thüringen (2021 FGI 0041). Christopher Spiess is a member of the Max Planck School of Photonics, supported by the BMBF, the Max Planck Society, and the Fraunhofer Society. The authors acknowledge the support of Sebastian Töpfer, Meritxell Cabrejo Ponce, Karin Burger and Carlos Andres Melo Luna for the internal review.

# **Author contributions**

C S designed and performed the experiments. F S proposed and directed the research. The first draft of the manuscript was written by C S and F S. All authors discussed the results and reviewed the manuscript.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Table 3. Specifications of the computer.

Value
Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-8250U CPU
1.60 GHz (1.80 GHz)
16 GB
Windows 10, 64-bit based processor
Python 3.7.0 64 bit, NumPy 1.19.4



**Figure 7.** Computation time of modulo-based cross-correlations and comparison with literature. (a) The computation time increases linearly with the number of time tags. (b) The figure depicts the total computation time for varying frequency resolutions  $\delta u$ . The total time is  $T_{\text{tot}} = T(\delta u)\Delta U/\delta u$  with a clock frequency difference accuracy  $\delta u$  for a range of  $\Delta U = 40$  ppm  $(-20 \text{ ppm} \dots + 20 \text{ ppm}, \text{ as given by crystal oscillators [45])}$  and  $T(\delta u) = \text{const} = 37 \text{ ms}$  (for  $N = 10^7$  time tags). With a maximum computation time of 2 h [23], the clock frequency resolution can be as low as  $0.2 \text{ ns s}^{-1}$ . (c) The significance of correlation peaks indicates its visibility and its likelihood of detection. As example, a significance of 37 refers to a confidence of 99.7% that it is not just noise. Smaller residual clock frequency difference increase this probability and small signal-to-noise ratio reduce it. By the clock frequency compensation during initialization, we open feasibility of clock synchronization to regimes of very low signal noise ratio ratios, as in Ecker2021 [13] (signal to noise ratio of 5 over a 143 km free-space quantum key distribution link) or in the low signal regime of Spiess2021-low [23]. Other references are Steinlechner2017 [10] and Ho2009 [7]. The specifications of our computer are described in table 3. (c) Adapted from [23]. CC BY 4.0.

## Appendix

#### A.1. Computation time and feasibility for low-signal scenarios

The computation time on our computer (table 3) is very low with cross-correlations based on the modulo operator (equation (1)). It takes about 37 ms to calculate a cross-correlation with  $10^7$  time tags at the receiver (figure 7(a)). This enables high-resolution clock-frequency sweeps during initialization of the synchronization procedure down to smaller than 0.2 ns s<sup>-1</sup> (figure 7(a)) at 2 h of computation time. In comparison, fast-Fourier transformations require more than 30 s for the same array size and limit the clock frequency resolution to 140 ns s<sup>-1</sup> for continuous wave sources [23]. Strengthening a poor signal from large clock frequency differences is the basis of noise resistance in pulsed single-photon sources and provides the feasibility for synchronization even in very low signal regimes with coincidence-to-accidental ratios <5 [13] (figure 7(c)).

The significance of the correlation peak *S* describes the ratio of the signal (i.e. the number of correlations) to the standard deviation of the noisy background. As an example, let us imagine a significance of 3 for the correlation peak. This refers to a confidence of 99.7% that this is really the correlation peak and not just noise. The significance has the following relation [7],

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{r_C^2}{r_A r_B \Delta u}},\tag{5}$$

with the single rate of receiver A (or sender) and receiver B,  $r_A$ ,  $r_B$ , correlation rate  $r_C$  and residual clock frequency difference  $\Delta u$ .



**Figure 8.** Representation of the stability of our algorithm in terms of overlapping Allan deviation (a) and Allan time deviation (TDEV) in (b). The underlying data set is the same as in figure 6.(1.) Quartz oscillators at source and receiver without updating the clock frequencies. (2.) Quartz oscillators at source and receiver with tracking of the clock frequencies, denoted with (+). (3.) Free-running rubidium (Rb) clocks at source and receiver with stability specifications (MDEV) of each being  $3 \times 10^{-12}$  at 1 s,  $3 \times 10^{-12}$  at 10 s and  $1 \times 10^{-12}$  at 100 s. (4.) An RF-cable provides the 10 MHz reference output of the source to the receiver.

#### A.2. Timing uncertainty from fiber length

The optical fiber changes its refractive index and geometry due to temperature. The phase change can be described by a geometric change in the fiber length *L* and its refraction index *n* with temperature *T* for the wavelength  $\lambda$  [54],

$$\Delta \phi = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left( n \frac{\partial L}{\partial T} + L \frac{\partial n}{\partial T} \right) \Delta T.$$
(6)

The thermo-optic coefficient  $\epsilon = \partial n/\partial T = 11 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$  is at least one order of magnitude higher than the thermal expansion coefficient  $\alpha = \partial L/\partial T = 0.55 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$  for the core of silica glass [55, 56]. When applying the equation (6) to 10 m of fiber, a change of temperature by  $\Delta T = 1 \text{ K}$  gives rise to a time delay of 0.37 ps at 1550 nm. As the temperature changes slowly in our air-conditioned lab, it affects the timing offset stability slightly at longer averaging times (>10 s) when it is not compensated.

#### A.3. Allan time deviation

Allan and Barnes [57] describes that the modified Allan deviation (MDEV) is useful for characterizing noise sources in oscillators or time transfer systems. However, the weighting in the MDEV gives lower stability outcomes that might be misleading [58]. For this reason, we also give a representation of our results in the overlapping Allan deviation and the Allan time deviation (TDEV) in figure 8.

# **ORCID** iDs

Christopher Spiess (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5974-9177 Fabian Steinlechner (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0122-1182

#### References

- van de Beek J-J, Sandell M, Isaksson M and Ola Borjesson P 1995 Low-complex frame synchronization in OFDM systems Proc. ICUPC '95—4th IEEE Int. Conf. on universal Personal Communications (IEEE) pp 982–6
- [2] Bellamy J C 1995 Digital network synchronization IEEE Commun. Mag. 33 70-83
- [3] van de Beek J-J, Borjesson P O, Boucheret M-L, Landstrom D, Arenas J M, Odling P, Ostberg C, Wahlqvist M and Wilson S K 1999 A time and frequency synchronization scheme for multiuser OFDM IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun. 17 1900–14
- [4] Mills D L 2011 Computer Network Time Synchronization: The Network Time Protocol on Earth and in Space 2nd edn (CRC Press)
- [5] Predehl K *et al* 2012 A 920-kilometer optical fiber link for frequency metrology at the 19th decimal place *Science* **336** 441–4
- [6] Ma L-S, Jungner P, Ye J and Hall J L 1994 Delivering the same optical frequency at two places: accurate cancellation of phase noise introduced by an optical fiber or other time-varying path Opt. Lett. 19 1777–9
- [7] Ho C, Lamas-Linares A and Kurtsiefer C 2009 Clock synchronization by remote detection of correlated photon pairs New J. Phys. 11 045011
- [8] Valencia A, Scarcelli G and Shih Y 2004 Distant clock synchronization using entangled photon pairs Appl. Phys. Lett. 85 2655–7
- [9] Marcikic I, Lamas-Linares A and Kurtsiefer C 2006 Free-space quantum key distribution with entangled photons Appl. Phys. Lett. 89 101122
- [10] Steinlechner F, Ecker S, Fink M, Liu B, Bavaresco J, Huber M, Scheidl T and Ursin R 2017 Distribution of high-dimensional entanglement via an intra-city free-space link Nat. Commun. 8 15971

- [11] Shi Y, Moe Thar S, Poh H S, Grieve J A, Kurtsiefer C and Ling A 2020 Stable polarization entanglement based quantum key distribution over a deployed metropolitan fiber Appl. Phys. Lett. 117 124002
- [12] Ursin R et al 2007 Entanglement-based quantum communication over 144 km Nat. Phys. 3 481-6
- [13] Ecker S, Liu B, Handsteiner J, Fink M, Rauch D, Steinlechner F, Scheidl T, Zeilinger A and Ursin R 2021 Strategies for achieving high key rates in satellite-based QKD npj Quantum Inf. 7 5
- [14] Wang S et al 2014 Field and long-term demonstration of a wide area quantum key distribution network Opt. Express 22 21739–56
- [15] Wang C, Li Y, Cai W, Yang M, Liu W, Liao S and Peng C 2021 Robust aperiodic synchronous scheme for satellite-to-ground quantum key distribution Appl. Opt. 60 4787–92
- [16] Sasaki M et al 2011 Field test of quantum key distribution in the Tokyo QKD network Opt. Express 19 10387-409
- [17] Yin J et al 2020 Entanglement-based secure quantum cryptography over 1,120 kilometres Nature 582 501-5
- [18] Chen Y-A et al 2021 An integrated space-to-ground quantum communication network over 4,600 kilometres Nature 589 214–9
- [19] Liao S-K et al 2017 Satellite-to-ground quantum key distribution Nature 549 43-47
- [20] Lim H C, Yoshizawa A, Tsuchida H and Kikuchi K 2008 Stable source of high quality telecom-band polarization-entangled photon-pairs based on a single, pulse-pumped, short PPLN waveguide Opt. Express 16 12460–8
- [21] Kim H, Kwon O and Moon H S 2019 Pulsed Sagnac source of polarization-entangled photon pairs in telecommunication band Sci. Rep. 9 5031
- [22] Pittman T B, Shih Y H, Strekalov D V and Sergienko A V 1995 Optical imaging by means of two-photon quantum entanglement Phys. Rev. A 52 R3429–32
- [23] Spiess C, Töpfer S, Sharma S, Cabrejo Ponce M, Rieländer D and Steinlechner F 2023 Clock synchronization with correlated photons Phys. Rev. Applied 19 054082
- [24] Scherer A, Sanders B C and Tittel W 2011 Long-distance practical quantum key distribution by entanglement swapping Opt. Express 19 3004–18
- [25] de Riedmatten H, Marcikic I, Tittel W, Zbinden H, Collins D and Gisin N 2004 Long distance quantum teleportation in a quantum relay configuration Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 047904
- [26] Ren J-G et al 2017 Ground-to-satellite quantum teleportation Nature 549 70-73
- [27] Xu F, Qi B, Liao Z and Lo H-K 2013 Long distance measurement-device-independent quantum key distribution with entangled photon sources Appl. Phys. Lett. 103 061101
- [28] Vest G, Rau M, Fuchs L, Corrielli G, Weier H, Nauerth S, Crespi A, Osellame R and Weinfurter H 2015 Design and evaluation of a handheld quantum key distribution sender module *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.* 21 131–7
- [29] Islam N T, Lim C C W, Cahall C, Kim J and Gauthier D J 2017 Provably secure and high-rate quantum key distribution with time-bin qudits *Sci. Adv.* **3** e1701491
- [30] Boaron A, Korzh B, Houlmann R, Boso G, Rusca D, Gray S, Li M-J, Nolan D, Martin A and Zbinden H 2018 Simple 2.5 GHz time-bin quantum key distribution Appl. Phys. Lett. 112 171108
- [31] Calderaro L, Stanco A, Agnesi C, Avesani M, Dequal D, Villoresi P and Vallone G 2020 Fast and simple qubit-based synchronization for quantum key distribution *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **13** 054041
- [32] Agnesi C, Avesani M, Calderaro L, Stanco A, Foletto G, Zahidy M, Scriminich A, Vedovato F, Vallone G and Villoresi P 2020 Simple quantum key distribution with qubit-based synchronization and a self-compensating polarization encoder *Optica* 7 284–90
- [33] Williams J, Suchara M, Zhong T, Qiao H, Kettimuthu R and Fukumori R 2021 Implementation of quantum key distribution and quantum clock synchronization via time bin encoding *Proc. SPIE* 11699 1169908
- [34] Scalcon D, Agnesi C, Avesani M, Calderaro L, Foletto G, Stanco A, Vallone G and Villoresi P 2022 Cross-encoded quantum key distribution exploiting time-bin and polarization states with qubit-based synchronization Adv. Quantum Technol. 5 2200051
- [35] Avesani M et al 2021 Resource-effective quantum key distribution: a field trial in Padua city center Opt. Lett. 46 2848–51
- [36] Fitzke E, Bialowons L, Dolejsky T, Tippmann M, Nikiforov O, Walther T, Wissel F and Gunkel M 2022 Scalable network for simultaneous pairwise quantum key distribution via entanglement-based time-bin coding PRX Quantum 3 020341
- [37] Wang C-Z, Li Y, Cai W-Q, Liu W-Y, Liao S-K and Peng C-Z 2021 Synchronization using quantum photons for satellite-to-ground quantum key distribution Opt. Express 29 29595–603
- [38] Bennett C H and Brassard G 2014 Quantum cryptography: public key distribution and coin tossing Theor. Comput. Sci. 560 7–11
- [39] Lee J, Shen L, Utama A N and Kurtsiefer C 2022 Absolute clock synchronization with a single time-correlated photon pair source over a 10 km optical fibre *Opt. Express* **30** 18530
- [40] Quan R et al 2022 Implementation of field two-way quantum synchronization of distant clocks across a 7 km deployed fiber link Opt. Express 30 10269–79
- [41] Dierikx E F, Wallin A E, Fordell T, Myyry J, Koponen P, Merimaa M, Pinkert T J, Koelemeij J C J, Peek H Z and Smets R 2016 White rabbit precision time protocol on long-distance fiber links *IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq. Control* 63 945–52
- [42] Kómár P, Kessler E M, Bishof M, Jiang L, Sørensen A S, Ye J and Lukin M D 2014 A quantum network of clocks Nat. Phys. 10 582–7
- [43] Dai H et al 2020 Towards satellite-based quantum-secure time transfer Nat. Phys. 16 848-52
- [44] Riley W and Howe D 2008 Handbook of Frequency Stability Analysis (Special Publication (NIST SP)) (National Institute of Standards and Technology)
- [45] Tirado-Andrés F and Araujo A 2019 Performance of clock sources and their influence on time synchronization in wireless sensor networks Int. J. Distrib. Sens. Netw. 15 155014771987937
- [46] Bregni S 1997 Clock stability characterization and measurement in telecommunications IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas. 46 1284–94
- [47] Lee J, Shen L, Cerè A, Troupe J, Lamas-Linares A and Kurtsiefer C 2019 Symmetrical clock synchronization with time-correlated photon pairs Appl. Phys. Lett. 114 101102
- [48] Cooley J W, Lewis P A W and Welch P D 1967 Historical notes on the fast Fourier transform Proc. IEEE 55 1675–7
- [49] Tomamichel M, Lim C C W, Gisin N and Renner R 2012 Tight finite-key analysis for quantum cryptography *Nat. Commun.* 3 634
  [50] Savory J, Sherman J and Romisch S 2018 White rabbit-based time distribution at NIST 2018 IEEE Int. Frequency Control Symp. (*IFCS*) (IEEE) pp 1–5
- [51] Zhang W, Huang J, Zhang C, You L, Lv C, Zhang L, Li H, Wang Z and Xie X 2019 A 16-pixel interleaved superconducting nanowire single-photon detector array with a maximum count rate exceeding 1.5 GHz IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 29 1–4
- [52] Rizzi M, Lipiński M, Wlostowski T, Serrano J, Daniluk G, Ferrari P and Rinaldi S 2016 White rabbit clock characteristics 2016 IEEE Int. Symp. on Precision Clock Synchronization for Measurement, Control and Communication (ISPCS) pp 1–6
- [53] Troupe J, Haldar S, Agullo I and Kwiat P 2022 Quantum clock synchronization for future nasa deep space quantum links and fundamental science (arXiv:2209.15122)

- [54] Elezov M S, Scherbatenko M L, Sych D V and Goltsman G N 2018 Active and passive phase stabilization for the all-fiber Michelson interferometer J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1124 051014
- [55] Wang Y, Li Z, Yu F, Wang M, Han Y, Hu L and Knight J 2022 Temperature-dependent group delay of photonic-bandgap hollow-core fiber tuned by surface-mode coupling Opt. Express 30 222
- [56] Wang T, Shao L-Y, Canning J and Cook K 2013 Temperature and strain characterization of regenerated gratings Opt. Lett. 38 247-9
- [57] Allan D W and Barnes J A 1981 A modified 'Allan variance' with increased oscillator characterization ability 35th Annual Frequency Control Symp. pp 470–5
- [58] Benkler E, Lisdat C and Sterr U 2015 On the relation between uncertainties of weighted frequency averages and the various types of Allan deviations Metrologia 52 565–74